THE HONORABLE DAVID G. ESTUDILLO 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 9 OSURE BROWN and TOMMY BROWN. No. 2:20-cy-00669-DGE on his own behalf and on behalf of other similarly situated persons, 10 Consolidated with Plaintiffs, 11 No. 2:20-cv-00680-DGE 12 v. STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC., et al., CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT 13 AND ALTER STRUCTURE OF Defendants. MOTION-TO-DISMISS BRIEFING 14 15 NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: 16 MAY 10, 2024 17 **STIPULATION** 18 Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 7(d)(1) and 10(g), and for the following reasons, the parties 19 hereby stipulate and agree to extend the time for all Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' 20 Consolidated Complaint (ECF 162) until and including May 31, 2024. 21 The Defendants anticipate filing separate motions to dismiss and seek additional time to 22 coordinate in order to avoid needless duplication of arguments. To that end, the Defendants shall 23 be permitted to file a joint brief in support of those motions in order to address arguments common 24 to all Defendants. Such joint brief shall not exceed thirty (30) double-spaced paged. Each 25 individual Defendant shall also each be permitted to file a separate motion-to-dismiss brief 26 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT AND ALTER STRUCTURE OF MOTION-TO-DISMISS BRIEFING – 1

(No. 2:20-cv-00669-DGE)

addressing arguments relevant to the individual Defendant. Such individual briefs shall not exceed sixteen (16) double-spaced pages each. Plaintiffs' opposition to the joint brief shall not exceed thirty (30) double-spaced pages and their opposition to each individual brief shall not exceed sixteen (16) double-spaced pages. Defendants' joint reply brief shall not exceed fifteen (15) double-spaced pages, and any reply in support of each Defendant's individual brief shall not exceed eight (8) double-spaced pages.

Plaintiffs take no position on the extension request and do not agree there is any basis for dismissal of any claims presented which were previously considered or could have been presented previously. The Plaintiffs will oppose any further motions to dismiss.

Such a stipulated briefing on overlapping or identical issues. This stipulation does not after or modify any

Such a stipulated briefing structure will save the Court and the Parties time and effort with respect to briefing on overlapping or identical issues. This stipulation does not alter or modify any other rights or responsibilities of the Parties except as stated herein or permitted by law or under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Civil Rules.

DATED: May 10, 2024

	I	I
1	HENRY & DEGRAAFF, P.S.	CONSUMER LAW CENTER, LLC
2	By: /s/ Christina L. Henry	By: /s/ Phillip Robinson
3	Christina L. Henry, WSBA No. 31273	Phillip Robinson, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> 8737 Colesville Road, Suite 308
4	787 Maynard Ave S Seattle, WA 98104	Silver Spring, MD 20910 phillip@marylandconsumer.com
5	Telephone: 206.330.0595 Facsimile: 206.400.7609	Counsel for Plaintiffs
6	chenry@HDM-legal.com	Counsel for 1 laintiffs
7	Counsel for Plaintiffs	
8		
9	BORISON FIRM, LLC	SESSIONS, FISHMAN, NATHAN & ISRAEL
10	By: /s/ Scott Borison	By: /s/ Bryan C. Shartle, Esq.
	Scott Borison, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> 1900 S. Norfolk St., Suite 350	Bryan C. Shartle, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Justin Homes, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
11	San Mateo, CA 94403 scott@borisonfirm.com	Bradley St. Angelo, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> 3838 North Causeway Boulevard, Suite 2800
12		Metairie, LA 70002
13	Counsel for Plaintiffs	<u>bshartle@sessions.legal</u> <u>jhomes@sessions.legal</u>
14		bstangelo@sessions.legal
15		Attorneys for Transworld Systems Inc.
16		IONES DAV
17	CORR CRONIN LLP	JONES DAY
18	By: /s/ Emily J. Harris Emily J. Harris, WSBA No. 35763	By: /s/Albert J. Rota Albert J. Rota, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
19	1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, WA 98154	Anthony M. Masero, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> 2727 North Harwood St.
20	eharris@corrcronin.com	Dallas, TX 75201 ajrota@jonesday.com
21	Attorneys for Transworld Systems Inc.	amasero@jonesday.com
22		Attorneys for U.S. Bank National Association
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	PERKINS COIE LLP	LEE SMART, P.S., INC.
2	By: <u>/s/ Kristine E. Kruger</u> Kristine E. Kruger #44612	By: /s/ Marc Rosenberg
3	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101	Marc Rosenberg, WSBA No. 31034 Andrew Gustafson, WSBA No. 51399
4	Telephone: 206.359.8000 Facsimile: 206.359.9000	1800 One Convention Place 701 Pike St.
5	KKruger@perkinscoie.com	Seattle, WA 98101
6	Attorneys for Defendants U.S. Bank National Association, National Collegiate	Mr@leesmart.com ag@leesmart.com
7	Student Loan Trust 2004-1, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2004-2,	Attorneys for Patenaude & Felix, APC
8	National Collegiate Student Loan Trust	
9	2005-1, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2005-2, National Collegiate Student	
10	Loan Trust 2005-3, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2006-1, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2006, 2	
11	Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2006-2, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust	
12	2007-1, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2007-2	
13		
14	TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDER LLP	
15	By: /s/ Justin G. Weber	
16	Justin G. Weber, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Noah J. Dipasquale, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	
17	Timothy J. St. George, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> 100 Market Street, Suite 200	
18	Harrisburg, PA 17101 justin.weber@troutman.com	
19	noah.dipasquale@troutman.com tim.stgeorge@troutman.com	
20	Counsel for National Collegiate Student	
21	Loan Trust 2004-1, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2004-2, National	
22	Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2005-1, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust	
23	2005-2, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2005-3, National Collegiate Student	
24	Loan Trust 2006-1, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2006-2, National	
25	Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2007-1, National Collegiate Student Loan Trust	
_	2007-2	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT AND ALTER STRUCTURE OF MOTION-TO-DISMISS BRIEFING – 4

26

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

- 1. Defendants' deadline to respond to the Consolidated Complaint is hereby extended to May 31, 2024.
- 2. To avoid needless duplication of arguments, the Defendants are hereby permitted to file a joint brief to address motion-to-dismiss arguments common to all Defendants. Such joint brief shall not exceed thirty (30) double-spaced pages. Each individual Defendant shall also be permitted to file a separate motion-to-dismiss brief addressing arguments relevant to the individual Defendant. Any such individual brief shall not exceed sixteen (16) double-spaced pages. Plaintiffs' opposition to the joint brief shall not exceed thirty (30) double-spaced pages and their opposition to each individual brief shall not exceed sixteen (16) double-spaced pages. Defendants' joint reply brief shall not exceed fifteen (15) double-spaced pages, and the reply in support of any Defendant's individual brief shall not exceed eight (8) double-spaced pages.
 - **The Parties are cautioned not to abuse this briefing structure and are otherwise directed not to duplicate arguments in the joint and individual motions to dismiss.
- This order does not alter or modify any other rights or responsibilities of the Parties
 except as stated herein or permitted by law or under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the
 Local Civil Rules.

DATED this 16th day of May, 2024.

David G. Estudillo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT AND ALTER STRUCTURE OF MOTION-TO-DISMISS BRIEFING – 5 (No. 2:20-cv-00669-DGE)